

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,648	07/01/2003	William B. S. Pressly SR.	MSF-7-CON	2083
22827	7590 05/28/2004		EXAM	INER
DORITY & MANNING, P.A.			RODRIGUEZ,	CRIS LOIREN
POST OFFICE BOX 1449 GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		3763	

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\int \int $			
4.	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/611,648	PRESSLY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cris L. Rodriguez	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thin beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>01 July 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 40-44 is/are pending in the appli	cation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 40-44 are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reign phonty under 30 0.0.0.	3 1 1 0 (a) (a) of (i).			
,,	monte have been received				
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		polication No			
2. Certified copies of the priority docu					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this inational stage			
application from the International B	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	raceived			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	receiveu.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Attachment(s)	A)	Summary (RTO 412)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a)figures 1-28, b)figures 29-43, c)figures 44-45, and d)figures 46-47.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/611,648

Art Unit: 3763

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to J. Bennett Mullinax on May 26, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cris L. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-2194. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,648 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 26, 2004

Cris L. Rodriguez

Examiner Art Unit 3763